

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/562,205	05/15/2006	Ezio Bombardelli	2503-1186	5416
466 7550 07/21/2010 YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
209 Madison S		CHEN, CATHERYNE		
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/562,205	BOMBARDELLI, EZIO
Review		Art Unit
Review	Catheryne Chen	1655

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief	Request for Review filed 19 May 2010.
 Improper Request – The Requeresson(s): 	est is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include r	peen filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. easons why a review is appropriate. uded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ontinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under an is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month fron running from the receipt of the notice of	peals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been opeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the R 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) objected to: ☐ Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 7-8. ☐ Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	e status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A confer action will be mailed. No further action	rence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Terry A. McKelvey</u> .	(3)Michele Flood.
(2) Kathleen Bragdon.	(4) <u>Catheryne Chen</u> .
/Terry A. McKelvey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1655	

Part of Paper No. 20100715

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office